## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

James Muhammad, a/k/a James Wright,	) C/A NO. 3:06-2297-CMC-JRM
	)
Petitioner,	)
	) ORDER
V.	)
	)
State of South Carolina,	)
Columbia Care Center a/k/a Just Care; and	)
Henry McMaster, Attorney General of the	)
State of South Carolina,	)
	)
Respondents.	)
	_ )

This matter is before the court on Petitioner's *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation. On August 22, 2006, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the petition be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge advised Petitioner of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and Recommendation and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Petitioner has filed no objections and the time for doing so has expired.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the

3:06-cv-02297-CMC Date Filed 09/15/06 Entry Number 6 Page 2 of 2

recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with

instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report and Recommendation only for

clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416

F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court

need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on

the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.") (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, and the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate

Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation by reference

in this Order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this matter is dismissed without prejudice and without

requiring Respondents to file a Return.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie
CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina September 15, 2006

C:\Documents and Settings\tdt78\Desktop\06-2297 Muhammad v. SC e adopt rr dism wo svc of process.wpd

2